

#### YEAR END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July - December



**Date: January 21, 2011** 

**Department:** Community Development **Program Name**: Administration (2111)

**Program Owner**: Sue Gray, Administrative Services Manager

Phone Number: x5504

**Program Mission**: Provide leadership, policy direction, and support to the Community

Development divisions in order to assist them in achieving their goals and

objectives.

#### EASURABLE OBJECTIVES 1. Ensure divisions meet 80% of their program objectives. QTR 4 Annual QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 Year to Jul-Sep **Performance Measure Target** Oct-Dec Jan-Mar Apr-Jun Date Percent of division program 80% N/A 92% N/A 88% 88% objectives achieved. Status: On target. CDD has 85 total objectives. 13 are not being reported at mid-Comments: Objective Achieved year. 66 of 72 objectives (or 92%) are on target YTD.

2. Ensure all division budgets are within budget and that proper accounting procedures are followed.							
Performance	e Measure	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Percent of divisions within budget (four divisions reporting).		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status:	On target.						
Comments:	All CDD program budgets ended the year within budget.						

3. Ensure all divisions respond to 90% of complaints filed via the City Administrator's or Mayor's Office within five days of receipt.							
Performance	•	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Percent of complaints responded to within five days of receipt.		90%	100%	0%	100%	N/A	83%
Status:	Only 1 complaint	was not re	esponded to	within the fi	ve day goal	•	
Comments:	Q4: 0 complaints received. Q3: 4 out of 4 complaints were responded to within five days of receipt. Q2: 0 out of 1 complaint was responded to within five days of receipt. Q1: 1 out of 1 complaint was responded to within five days of receipt.					hieved 🗌	

PROJECT OBJECTIVES				
Prepare mid-year budget review for Fiscal Year 2011.     Status: Complete				
	Mid-Year Review memo was submitted on time. No requests	Objective Achieved 🖂		
	for additional funding were needed.			

5. Successfully administer Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing ARRA State Grant.				
Status:	Held monthly meetings with all HPRP subgrantees; completed completed the first Annual Performance Report; submitted threfiled an interest earned record; processed monthly claims from and began working with subgrantees on their drawdown amount preparation for a budget revision to move funding from one agorder to ensure that we meet our drawdown requirement at Se	ee draw down requests; in the six subgrantees; unts, including gency to two others in		
Comments:	This objective has been met.	Objective Achieved 🖂		

6. Develop Fiscal Year 2012 budget, including all auxiliary items such as new fee schedules, revenue projections, line items, etc.				
Status:	Complete			
Comments:	The Community Development's budget and all auxiliary items were submitted on time. The budget review with the City Administrator occurred on March 14, 2011. Council presentation was held on May 2, 2011.	Objective Achieved 🖂		

7. Coordinate, with other program managers and supervisors, three high priority Community Development Department technology projects, with the assistance of a 0.4 FTE hired under contract from the Information Systems Division and Department Staff.			
Status:	Complete		
Comments:	CDD staff met with Information Systems staff on a monthly basis and completed 4 projects. The SLA expired at the end of FY11 and the remaining projects were moved to the FY12 IT Strategic Plan.	Objective Achieved 🖂	



# YEAR END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July - June

P3

**Date:** March 1, 2012

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: Building Counter and Plan Review (2143)

Program Owner: Chris Hansen, Building Inspection / Plan Check Supervisor

Phone Number: X5566

**Program Mission**: Provide project review, permit issuance, and customer service for property

owners, the development community, and internal customers to ensure a

safely built environment in accordance with State and local laws.

MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES							
1. Complete	75% of building pe	rmit Initial	Reviews wit	hin the pron	nised timelin	es.	
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure		Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Initial Reviews completed		75%	75	69	64	65	68%
within the promised timeline.							
Status:	190 of 291 Initial	Reviews v	vere comple	ted on time	this quarter.		
	722 of 1065 Initia	al Reviews	were compl	eted on time	this fiscal y	ear.	
Comments:	While we have or	ne fewer p	lans examin	er, the numb	per of new	Objective A	Achieved
	applications has remained high, and along with a greater						
	number of resubmittals due to new codes not being						
	understood by the architectural community in general, has						
	resulted in our Initial Review goal not being met.						

2. Complete 75% of building permit re-submittals within the promised timelines.							
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure		Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Re-submittals	Re-submittals completed		84	69	79	72	76%
within the promised timeline.							
Status:	195 of 270 Resubmittals were completed on time this quarter.						
	742 of 976 Resubmittals were completed on time this fiscal year.						
Comments:						Objective Ac	hieved 🛚

3. Complete 80% of building permit revisions within the promised timelines.							
Performance	e Measure	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Percent of revisions completed within the promised timeline.		80%	88	72	90	79	82%
Status:	96 of 122 Revisions were completed on time this quarter. 321 of 391 Revisions were completed on time this fiscal year.						
Comments:			•			Objective Ac	hieved 🛚

Fiscal Year 2011 Year-End Report Building Counter and Plan Review Program (2143) Page 2 of 2

PROJECT OBJECTIVES					
4. Coordinate with County staff to ensure smooth implementation of the County's Municipal Financing Program (AB 811).					
Status:	Project on indefinite hold due to lack of State Funding.				
Comments:		Objective Achieved			

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES						
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Total permits issued.	2,600	552	499	632	625	2308
2. Staff hours devoted to PRT and DART reviews.	300	58	51	33	72	214
Plan reviews and resubmittals completed.	2,500	616	561	673	715	2565
4. "Over-the-Counter" permits issued at the Building and Safety Counter.	1,600	371	360	404	380	1515
5. New permit applications made to the Building and Safety Division.	2,500	635	601	669	715	2620

COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:	



#### YEAR END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July - June



**Date:** 7/14/2011

**Department:** Community Development

Program Name (#): Building Inspection/Code Enforcement (2141)

Larry Cassidy, Building Inspection / Plan Check Supervisor **Program Owner:** 

**Phone Number:** x5588

**Program Mission**: Review and inspect construction projects and abate substandard

housing conditions and illegal dwelling units to ensure safe housing

through compliance with all applicable building codes and City

ordinances.

#### MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES 1. Respond to 100% of inspection requests on the day scheduled for permitted work. Annual QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 Year to **Target** Oct-Dec Apr-Jun Date Jul-Sep Jan-Mar **Performance Measure** 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% **Building Inspections** 100% Completed Status: Completed Comments: Year-end total of 11,257 inspection requests completed on Objective Achieved day requested.

2. Respond to 90% of code enforcement complaints within five working days from receipt of complaint.								
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date	
Code Comp	Code Complaints Response		89%	91%	86%	91%	89%	
Status:	Missed target b	Missed target by 1%						
Comments:	Year-end total 322 of 362 complaints responded to within 5 Objective Achieved  days.							

3. Perform 9 working days	5% of specialty / c 	commercial	plan review	s (electrical,	plumbing,	mechanical)	within four	
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date	
Specialty/Cor	Specialty/Commercial Plan		100%	100%	98%	98%	99%	
Checks Com	peted							
Status:	Completed							
	Year-end total 695 of 702 reviews completed with 204 Objective A					Objective Ac	hieved 🛚	
Comments:	reviews complete	ed on the s	reviews completed on the same or within 1 day of receiving.					

PROJECT OBJECTIVES						
4. Minimum Status:	of 1 inspector to obtain ICC Green Building Certification.  Completed					
Comments:	2 Inspectors have obtained International Code Council Green Building Certification during FY 2011	Objective Achieved 🖂				

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES									
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date			
Building inspections completed.	10,500	2,906	2,623	2,610	3,118	11,257			
2. Code enforcement cases processed.	355	86	76	114	88	364			
3. Code enforcement cases resolved.	324	87	74	72	82	315			
4. Specialty/commercial plan reviews completed.	588	168	156	196	182	702			
5. Permits resolved under Expired Permit Program.	500	36	145	166	79	426			

## **COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:**

- Inspections exceeded target by 7%
   Specialty Plan Reviews exceed target by 19%



#### YEAR END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July - June

P3

Date: July 22, 2011

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: City Arts Advisory Program (2113)

**Program Owner**: Ginny Brush, Executive Director and Sue Gray, Admin. Svcs Mgr.

**Phone Number**: (805) 568-3992 and (805) 564-5504

**Program Mission**: Provide grants to various organizations to promote art, events, and festivals,

and to enhance tourism in the City of Santa Barbara. Provide staff support for the Arts Advisory Committee, the Visual Arts in Public Places Committee, and

the Community Events and Festivals Committee.

## MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

1. Ensure that 95% of City art grantees are placed under contract and in compliance within the current fiscal year that they are awarded funds.

Performance Measure		Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date		
Percent of grantees under contract and compliance this fiscal year.		95%	0	48%	38%	12%	98%		
Status:	Completed	Completed							
Comments:	Grants were approved in September and grantees began the contracting process in October. Two grantees were unable to complete the granting requirements; therefore, \$9,500 was reallocated in the FY12 grant cycle.						hieved 🛚		

Performance	a Maasura	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Performance Measure  Percent of grant payments disbursed within 15 days of receiving the invoice.		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status:	Completed						
Comments:	All grant paymer days or less.	nts have be	en processe	ed for payme	ent in 14	Objective Ac	hieved 🛚

PROJECT OBJECTIVES							
3. Hold monthly committee meetings and ensure that all notices, agendas, and meeting minutes are properly filed.							
Status:	Completed						
Comments:	City Arts Advisory and Visual Art in Public Places Committees met 10 times during the year and all notices, agendas and meeting minutes were properly posted and filed. The Events & Festivals Committee met in July to	Objective Achieved 🖂					

review, recommend and approve grant requests.

4. Produce to	hree Channing Peake Gallery exhibitions in cooperation with oth	ner cultural
Status:	Three exhibitions were held.	
Comments:	The Arts Commission hosted an Opening Reception July 1, for <i>The Santa Barbara Printmakers: 17<sup>th</sup> Annual Juried Exhibition</i> in cooperation with the S B Printmakers Guild and the Downtown Organization. An exhibition insert produced and distributed through the Santa Barbara Independent included a cultural arts calendar with support of the DO. Westmont Professor Emeritus Tony Askew gave an introduction to Printmaking, and invited attendees to join Printmaking stations with instructors for more in-depth information on printmaking techniques. On September 2, the Arts Commission offered another evening interactive workshop with Santa Barbara printmakers and artists brought print portfolios to share with the public.	Objective Achieved
	On October 7 <sup>th</sup> , an opening reception for <i>Art Inspired by County Parks</i> was held in the Channing Peake Gallery as part of 1 <sup>st</sup> <i>Thursday</i> . The exhibit continued in January and February 2011. The exhibition was the result of a partnership between the Arts Commission and County Park Foundation and featured almost 40 artworks of diverse media. Arts Commission staff worked with the Park Foundation and County Parks Department to provide technical and curatorial support for the 2011 County Parks calendar that debuted in January at the 1 <sup>st</sup> <i>Thursday</i> public reception.	
	<b>Santa Barbara Art Roots: Celebrating 60 Years,</b> a juried group art exhibition, opened on 1st Thursday, March 3, from 5-8pm with a 6pm Awards Ceremony. This juried exhibition, on view from February 28–August 19, 2011 celebrates the upcoming 60 <sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Santa Barbara Art Association and features a wide variety of artist styles and media. Additional 1 <sup>st</sup> Thursdays every month included a variety of lectures, programs and workshops in the Channing Peake Gallery.	

5. Maintain and promote the City Hall Art Gallery exhibition.							
Status:	Completed						
Comments:	Rita Ferri, Visual Arts Coordinator and Curator of Collections organized an exhibition of mural studies by Channing Peake for the City Hall Art Gallery. The exhibition of works, from area collectors, coincided with the promotion of public art at the Airport and the installation of Channing Peake's 1984 <i>Fiesta</i> mural. An opening reception for the exhibition at City Hall was held February 2nd as part of 1st Thursday, with Mayor Schneider, Karen Ramsdell, the Airport Director, and City Arts Advisory committee members in attendance.	Objective Achieved 🛚					

	City Arts Advisory committee members in attendance.	
6 Identify or	ad produce three cultural events that help feater the identity of t	ho Cultural Arta Diatriat
Status:	nd produce three cultural events that help foster the identity of the Seven events were completed.	ne Cultural Arts District.
Comments:	Staff organized and coordinated a <b>dedication of Public Art</b> at West Beach for Saturday, October 23 <sup>rd</sup> to coincide with the visit of the tall ship (Spirit of Dana Point). With support from the S B Maritime Museum, City RDA, and the Chumash Maritime Association, the event included a ribbon cutting and walking tour with the Mayor, Council members and the project artists. The dedication included a newly produced pocket brochure with information on the art and debuted the Chumash Story Circle Pod Cast at a SB Maritime Museum reception at the end of the tour.	Objective Achieved 🗵
	Pianos on State Street (POS), a collaboration with the Arts Commission, Granada Theatre Outreach, SB Bowl Foundation, Notes 4 Notes and organizers of the New Noise Festival placed pianos along State St and in the MTD Transit Center November 4-7 <sup>th</sup> . The project kicked off as part of 1 <sup>st</sup> Thursday for the public to play or watch area musicians and attendees to the New Noise Festival perform. In addition to promoting the History Cultural Arts District, and New Noise Festival, POS promoted an instrument drive sponsored by The Granada and the S B Bowl Foundation that took place at the end of December. Donated instruments go to students who are interested in learning how to play but can't afford to buy or rent instruments.	
	The Arts Commission collaborated with UCSB Arts & Lectures and County Parks Foundation in coordinating and presenting a series of free films in the Sunken Garden Monsters at the Courthouse, every Friday night in July and August 2010 (with the exception of Fiesta weekend). Approximately 1000 attended each film.	
	The Arts Commission offered a second, <i>Interactive Printmaking Workshop</i> with Santa Barbara printmakers on September 2 <sup>nd</sup> in the Channing Peake Gallery. Artists brought print portfolios to share with the public. This was a	

unique chance to learn about the many techniques employed by County printmakers today, and ask questions.

**Stochastic Probability,** plant-based sculptures by artist Francis Michael Dawson, at the **Jardin de Las Granadas** were on view from January - August 2011. The exhibition was funded in part by *Santa Barbara Beautiful, Inc.* and promoted in collaboration with the County Arts Commission, the City RDA and the Downtown Organization.

The Arts Commission organized and promoted the 5<sup>th</sup> Annual Santa Barbara County finals of *Poetry Out Loud*, a National Recitation Contest of area high schools was held downtown in the Board of Supervisors Hearing Room on February 9, 2011. Winning students advance from the local level to the State and National level. The program is funded in part by the National Endowment for the Arts, the Poetry Foundation and the California Arts Council. The competition was videotaped and aired on CSBTV-20.

The City Poet Laureate Paul J. Willis, a Westmont English professor, was inaugurated by Santa Barbara City Council on March 22, 2011. Eight nominees were considered by the City Arts Advisory Poet Laureate search committee, and Willis was selected based on his published work, community involvement, and educational background.

7. Organize and host an annual symposium on the arts addressing regional art issues by the end of the third quarter of the fiscal year.

Status: Completed

Comments: Arts Commission staff organized and held an all day Arts Symposium with over 100 in attendance on Friday, April 15, 2011 at the Cabrillo Center for the Arts.

Objective Achieved 

Comments: Objective Achieved 

Objective

8. Provide technical and professional support to artists, art organizations, non-profits, and the public for cultural events and programs in the City of Santa Barbara. Status: Completed Comments: Arts Commission held 3 technical support grant meetings in Objective Achieved the Cultural Arts District and provided individual technical support to potential grant applicants. In addition to ongoing support to individual artists and cultural groups Arts Commission staff provided technical and professional support for the following projects: Public Art projects at the Airport; Gotta Sing! Gotta Dance! at the Courthouse free film series in the Sunken Garden: Pianos on State Street and the SB Arts Collaborative's Art in the Mayor's Office program. Technical staff support was also provided to the following groups and organizations: the Conference and Visitors Bureau and Film Commission,

Downtown Organization, Art from Scrap, Performing Arts League, Community Arts Workshop Task Force, Sonando Santa Barbara, SB Arts Collaborative, and the Santa Barbara Symphony's recent audience survey (made available on www.sbartscommission.org.

Haley/De La Vina Bridge Project. Arts Commission staff, City Arts Advisory Committee and Visual Art in Public Places Committee provided technical advise regarding the thematic public art elements, identified the artist, and coordinated with the SBMA to have Tina Villadolid, arts educator, work with area under-served students to create tiles and stamped concrete designs.

In February Arts Commission staff worked closely with the **Community Arts Workshop Task Force** and the **SB Arts Collaborative Board**, completing and submitting Letters of Interest (LOIs) to the NEA "Our Town" grant and Santa Barbara Foundation seeking funding support for the Community Arts Workshop and for the Arts Collaborative new Enterprise Grant pilot program.

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES								
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date		
Community Events and Festivals Grant applications received.	20	12	0	0	0	12		
Organizational     Development Grant     applications received.	30	34	0	0	0	34		
3. Community Arts Grant applications received.	32	23	0	0	0	23		
4. Monthly Visual Arts in Public Places (VAPP) and Arts Advisory Committee meetings held.	10	2	2	3	3	10		

#### **COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:**



#### YEAR END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July-June



**Date:** July 15, 2011

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: Design Review and Historic Preservation (2134)

Program Owner: Jaime Limón, Senior Planner II

Phone Number: x5507

**Program Mission**: Ensure the design and development of buildings and structures comply with

adopted design guidelines and ordinances; and assist the community in preserving and protecting the City's natural beauty, character, heritage, and

established architectural traditions.

# **MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES**

1. Complete 85% of the Master Environmental Assessments (MEAs) on Design Review applications within 20 days of application receipt.

		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure		Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of MEAs completed		85%	95%	95%	96%	94%	95%
within established time lines.							
Status:	Target objective	haina mat	18 of 51 c	ompleted wi	thin timefran	na 101 of 20	11- VTD

Status: Target objective being met. 48 of 51 completed within timeframe. 191 of 201= YTD

Comments: Have been able to maintain this objective standard with the transfer of Environmental Analyst position to section. Objective Achieved 

□

2. Present 70% of design review applications (ABR/HLC/SFDB) to decision makers for review within 30 days of acceptance.

		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance I	Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of design review applications submitted for review within the established timelines.		70%	98%	93%	92%	83%	92%
Status:	Target objective being met. 50 of 60 completed within timeframe. 240 of 263= YTD						
	Objective goal lowered from 80% to 70% due to lower performance in last guarter of last fiscal year.					hieved 🛚	

3. Complete 90% of preliminary plan checks for Architectural Board of Review (ABR), Historic Landmarks Commission (HLC), and Single Family Design Board (SFDB) within five days of receipt of applicant.

	Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of preliminary plan checks for ABR, HLC, and PC completed within five days of receipt.	90%	100%	86%	72%	81%	83%
6				141 1 41 6		1-0

Status: Target objective not being met. 44 of 54 completed within timeframe. 141 of 170 = YTD

Comments:	Objective goal lowered from 95% to 90% this past year due	Objective Achieved
	to expected staffing decreases. Sometimes difficult to	
	comply with this objective goal due to furlough days off,	
	mailed noticing requirements and short week timeframes.	

PROJECT OBJECTIVES					
4. Continue progress on the Historic Preservation Work Program (HPWP), including the review of survey records; development of Historic Resource Design Guidelines to work in conjunction with City's Historic Districting Plan and preservation policies related to General Plan Historic Element,					
Status:	Progress made				
Comments:	Progress underway for HPWP on several fronts. Review of Lower Riviera Survey Phase II results, preparation of draft Historic Resource Design Guidelines, development of Historic Districting Plan, and assistance with General Plan. Historic Resources Element update.	Objective Achieved			

5. Implement Sign reviews into ABR and HLC consent calendar agendas.					
Status:	Completed				
Comments:	Work objective and implementation completed	Objective Achieved 🛛			

6. Work with the Design Review boards and commissions to conduct one training seminar on						
improving eff	improving efficiency of board meetings.					
Status:	Trainings held on Roberts Rules of Order Parliamentary procedures and handouts distributed for improvements on making of motions by board members.					
Comments:	Work objective completed.	Objective Achieved 🖂				

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES							
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date	
Design Review applications received.	600	118	148	164	152	582	
ABR agenda items scheduled.	280	75	74	73	64	286	
HLC agenda items scheduled.	270	68	48	88	77	281	
4. SFDB agenda items scheduled.	450	93	83	120	100	396	
5. Administrative Staff review items.	215	41	39	72	82	234	

FY 2011 End-Year Report Design Review & Historic Preservation Program 2134 Page 3 of 3

6. Mailed notices prepared for Design Review public hearings.	170	30	29	28	42	129
7. Historic Resource Evaluations.	150	28	28	40	58	154
8. Appeals filed to City Council.	3	2	1	0	3	6
9. Preliminary plan checks completed.	160	34	28	54	54	170
10. Preliminary plan checks with fees.	40	0	0	0	0	0
11. Sign Reviews agenda items scheduled	175	30	17	20	12	79
12. Conforming Sign Review items.	225	48	56	41	46	191

#### **COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:**

The total amount of design review applications for HLC and SFDB and Signs are slightly lower than anticipated including number of larger new development applications that require mailed notices. Sign Committee applications are lower than expected. The Preliminary plan check fee service which was offered this past year has not been used by customers. It will no longer be tracked.



#### YEAR END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July-June



Date: July 7, 2011

Department:Community DevelopmentProgram Name (#):Development Review (2132)Program Owner:Danny Kato, Senior Planner

Phone Number: X2567

**Program Mission**: Manage the development review process, including project

environmental review and stakeholder involvement, in order to protect

and preserve the City's resources and quality of life.

#### MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

1. Work with applicants to submit complete applications within the second 30-day review at least 70% of the time, in order to improve customer service and reduce workload.

		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of applications deemed complete within the 2 <sup>nd</sup> DART process.		70%	67%	80%	57%	83%	71%
Status:	21 projects deemed complete, 15 within the second DART.						
Comments:	Achievement of t	this objecti	ve has much	n to do with a	applicant	Objective Ac	hieved 🛛

responsiveness to Staff comments.

2. Encourage at least 50% of Planning Commission projects to receive a Pre-Application Review Team (PRT) review, in order to provide early advice to project proponents and minimize applications

that cannot be supported. Annual QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 Year to **Target** Jul-Sep Oct-Dec Jan-Mar Apr-Jun Date **Performance Measure** Percent of DART applications 50% 60% 60% 43% 40% 50%

that receive F	PRT.						
Status:	39 DART applications received, 19 went through the PRT process.						
Comments:	Some PRTs are not have very little into submit for voluntary	fluence on	•		•	Objective Ac	hieved 🛚

3. Ensure 80% of all Planning Commission and Staff Hearing Officer Staff Reports are submitted to the supervisor by the required review date.

the supervisor by the required review date.							
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure		Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of Dr	aft PC/SHO staff	80%	67%	50%	85%	89%	71%
reports subm	itted to the						
Development	Review						
Supervisor or	n time.						
Status:	48 staff reports w	ere submi	tted, 34 wer	e on time.			
Comments:	To report on this performance measure, I kept track of when draft staff reports were given to me. Although more draft						

reports were late than the objective, only a small percentage (estimated at 5% - 10%) impacted the production of the final PC or SHO packets, and no packets were delivered late to

the PC or the SHO.

4. Ensure that 90% of all minutes and resolutions are ready for submission to the Planning Commission for approval by the next scheduled Planning Commission meeting. QTR 1 QTR 4 Annual QTR 2 Year to QTR 3 **Performance Measure Target** Jul-Sep **Oct-Dec** Jan-Mar Apr-Jun Date Percent of minutes and 90% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% resolutions ready for submission to the PC within 4 weeks. 21 sets of minutes were submitted to the PC. All were on time. Status: Comments Objective Achieved

PROJECT OBJECTIVES						
5. Implement process improvements that reduce the amount of time spent to review projects while maintaining the quality of the City and better managing staff workload, including updated procedures, additional training for new and existing staff, and clearer environmental analysis procedures.						
Status:	Worked on substantial changes to the Conditions of Approval templates including review by the Planning Commission. Made changes to the staff report template and the DART letter template. Work is underway on improvements to environmental procedures, and related items.					
Comments:		Objective Achieved 🗵				

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES								
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date		
Development applications submitted, including resubmittals.	60	10	8	15	12	45		
2. Pre-application reviews received.	15	1	3	1	7	12		
3. Hearings on development projects by Planning Commission.	37	10	8	6	8	32		
4. Major work sessions, trainings, and discussion items at the Planning Commission.	20	3	2	1	7	13		
5. Staff hours spent at the Planning Commission meetings (internal tracking purposes).	175	51.5	50.5	35.5	56.25	193.75		

6. Planning Commission appeals.	5	0	0	0	4	4
7. Planning staff hours devoted to projects that include affordable housing.	700	125	98	38	75.5	336.5
8. Affordable housing units approved by Planning Commission/ Staff Hearing Officer.	65	53	6	0	0	59
9. Value of staff time spent at the Planning Comm. meetings on development review projects (salaries only).	\$6,500	\$1,969	\$1,944	\$1,361	\$2,174	\$7,448
10. Staff hours spent participating in Planning Division training sessions.	45	18	11	10	10	49

**COMMENTS:** The level of development applications slightly lower than anticipated, most likely because the continuing poor economic conditions.



#### YEAR-END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July - June



Date: July 19, 2011

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name (#)**: Housing Development and Preservation (2711) **Program Owner**: Steven Faulstich, Housing Programs Supervisor

Phone Number: x4585

Program Mission: Promote and facilitate the development and preservation of housing

primarily for low- and moderate-income households to foster an

inclusive and balanced community.

# **MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES**

1. Provide approximately \$3 million in Redevelopment Agency, State, and Federal funds for affordable housing projects in accordance with state and federal regulations.

	Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Amount of City and Agency	\$3	0	\$1.585	\$3.15	\$2.85	\$7.585
funding committed.	million		million	million	million	million

Status:

During FY 2011, the Housing Development and Preservation Program secured approval of loans and grants to nine projects for a total of \$7,585,000. The projects are: 2941 State Street, acquisition by the Housing Authority of a six unit apartment project (\$360,000); 233 W. Ortega Street, construction of two new units to the rear of an existing Housing Authority apartment building (\$300,000); 822-824 E. Canon Perdido Street, acquisition by Habitat For Humanity of a site for future development of low-income housing using Habitat's self-help model (\$925,000); 512 Bath Street (Bradley Studios), additional funding needed in order to secure tax credit financing for this 54 unit low income rental housing project (\$2,000,000); 510-520 N. Salsipuedes, acquisition by Peoples' Self-Help Housing Corp of a site for future development of rental housing (\$2,000,000); 2904 State Street, acquisition by the Housing Authority of an 8 unit project (former motel) to be master-leased to WillBridge (\$1,150,000); and rehabilitation of three Housing Authority projects – 417 Santa Fe Lane (SHIFCO), 521 N. La Cumbre, 2941 State Street – (\$850,000).

Comments:

With the uncertainty of this year's State budget and its resultant impact on Redevelopment funds, staff made sure to commit available Housing Setaside Funds. This resulted in far exceeding our target. Demand for funds and availability of such funds varies from year to year. We may find that the funding target will be more difficult to meet in FY 2012, particularly given the continuing RDA budget uncertainty.

2. Assist in the development and preservation of 40 affordable units using density bonus, below-market rate financing, and other development incentives.

	Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Number of affordable housing units for which financing is committed or density bonus approved.	40	0	8	8	54	70

compliance.

Status:	Financing was committed for four projects with 70 units this fiscal year: 2941 State (6),
	233 W. Ortega Street (2), 2904 State (8), and 512 Bath (54). Units for two projects
	listed in Objective 1 above are not counted here, since those two projects received
	acquisition funding in order to landbank and develop projects later. Additional funding
	will likely be needed for both projects once plans have been approved, and units will
	be counted at that time. Units for the three rehab projects listed in Objective 1 above
	are not counted here, as the per unit cost of the rehabilitation is not substantial.
Comments:	Objective Achieved ⊠

3. Commit 100% of federal HOME funding to eligible affordable housing projects within two years of receipt.

Annual OTR 1 OTR 2 OTR 3 OTR 4 Year to

		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of Ho		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
committed wi	ithin two years of						
receipt.							
Status:	We have a deadl	ine of Aug	ust, 2011 to	commit HO	ME funds fo	r which we re	eceived a
	HUD commitmen	t in Augus	t of 2009. W	e met this d	eadline with	the approva	l of the
	HOME loans to the	ne Mom's	project (\$17	0,000) and t	he Artisan C	Court project	
	(\$915,417). We a	also funded	d a tenant-ba	ased rental a	assistance p	rogram for th	ne Housing
	Authority (\$200,000) targeted for persons transitioning from homelessness.						
Comments:	With these comm	ommitments of HOME funds, we are well on Objective Achieved					
	our way to meeting	ng next ye	ar's commitr	ment deadlin	ie.		

compliance with the City's affordability and occupancy requirements. Annual QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 Year to **Target** Jul-Sep Oct-Dec Jan-Mar Apr-Jun Date **Performance Measure** 95% NA 85% 98% Percent of affordable rental NA 98% units certified for compliance. Status: Compliance was certified for all but one project, which consists of 24 units. Out of the 1,165 total units, 1,141 are in compliance and information has not been

4. Certify compliance of at least 95% of 1,165 rental units to assure that 100% of those units are in

received for the remaining 24 units so compliance cannot be verified.

Comments: Last compliance monitoring report to be submitted by end of July.

Objective Achieved 
July.

5. Certify compliance of at least 95% of 337 owner-occupied units to assure that 100% of those units are in compliance with the City's affordability and occupancy requirements.

units are in compilance with the City's anordability and occupancy requirements.								
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date	
Percent of aff ownership un compliance.	fordable lits certified for	95%	NA	99%	100%	100%	100%	
Status:	100% Compliance. All 337 homeowners returned their annual compliance certification.							
Comments:	County Records	were sear	ched on all p	roperties to	ensure	Objective Ac	hieved 🛚	

6. Assure compliance with City requirements for 100% of initial sales, resales, and refinancings of affordable ownership units.								
Annual QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 Year to Performance Measure Target Jul-Sep Oct-Dec Jan-Mar Apr-Jun Date								
resales and r	Percentage of monitored 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100					100%	100%	
Status:	All transactions were in compliance with the City requirements. We monitored 5 resales and 27 refinances. The sales activity was down but the refinance activity was up.							
Comments:		Housing Programs Specialist monitored all transactions and executed and recorded affordable legal documentation						

7. Ensure that 100% of City or Agency-funded affordable housing projects incorporate environmentally responsible design and construction techniques including, but not limited to, the specification of recycled content building materials and construction debris recycling processes.

specification of recycled content building materials and construction debris recycling processes.								
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performanc	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date	
funded afford projects inco	ally responsible	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Status:	The two projects in construction during this fiscal year met this performance measure.							
	The Artisan Court project was completed in FY 2011. Its design incorporates photovoltaic cells and other environmentally responsible design and construction							

The Artisan Court project was completed in FY 2011. Its design incorporates photovoltaic cells and other environmentally responsible design and construction techniques such as bioswales for drainage, a community garden, and other sustainable features and materials. The studio apartments have been designed to allow for cross ventilation and natural day lighting.

The Mom's Place project is currently in construction. Its design incorporates courtyard principles. Every unit has windows and doors on at least two sides allowing for natural lighting and cross ventilation. Roofs are designed to integrate photovoltaic panels on the flat roofs with good solar exposure. All flat roofs are roofed as "cool roofs" with high reflectivity. The project will exceed Title 24 energy standard by at least 10%. Bathrooms and kitchens will feature water-saving fixtures and flow restrictors.

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES							
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date	
1. Number of initial sales of new affordable units which Housing Programs staff monitored for conformance with housing policies.	2	0	4	0	0	4	
2. Number of resales of existing affordable units which Housing Programs staff monitored for conformance with housing policies.	9	1	1	2	1	5	
3. Number of refinancings of existing affordable units which Housing Programs staff monitored for conformance with housing policies.	3	4	9	9	5	27	

COMMENTS ON	OTHER	PERFORMANCE	MFASURFS:



#### YEAR END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July to June

P3

**Date:** July 14, 2011

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: Long Range Planning and Special Studies (2131)

**Program Owner**: John Ledbetter, Principal Planner

Phone Number: x2569

**Program Mission**: Develop public policies that reflect the community's vision, in order to manage

the City's physical growth within our resources and to protect Santa Barbara's

unique quality of life for the entire community.

# **PROJECT OBJECTIVES**

1. Complete	public review and prepare for Council adoption of Land Use El	ement and Map update
by December	r 30, 2010.	
Status:	Land Use Element and Map submitted to Council for adoption	on October 26, 2011.
Comments:	Council created an Ad Hoc Subcommittee in November to resolve a number of outstanding issues including residential density. Final adoption pending.	Objective Achieved 🗵

•	2. Complete public review and prepare for Council adoption of <b>Housing Element</b> update, by December 30, 2010 and submit for State certification by January 28, 2011.				
Status:	Land Use Element and Map submitted to Council for adoption	on October 26, 2011.			
Comments:	Council created an Ad Hoc Subcommittee in November to resolve a number of outstanding issues including residential density. Final adoption pending.	Objective Achieved			

	Adaptive Management Program by November 30, 2010. Keasurements; monitoring methods; assessment methods and tie.	
Status:	Deferred	
Comments:	Formal development of Adaptive Management Program deferred to post adoption implementation.	Objective Achieved

	anSB Final EIR at the Planning Commission by November 201 I EIR preparation; preparation of PC staff report; noticing; Plann	
Status:	Objective Completed	
Comments:	Final EIR certified by the Planning Commission on September 30, 2010.	Objective Achieved 🖂

5. Prepare P	PlanSB Implementation Priorities and schedule for Council direction following
adoption of the	ne General Plan update. Key milestones include: draft work program; budget and
resources; tir	meline.
Status:	Pending.

Comment	Preparation of Implementation Plan priorities to follow final	Objective Achieved
	adoption.	

**6.** Participate with the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) to develop a regional plan that integrates regional transportation planning, housing allocation, and land use decision making to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to meet the targets established by the California Air Resources Board and in compliance with SB 375.

Status:	Continuing.	
Comments:	Working with SBCAG and south coast jurisdictions on	Objective Achieved 🖂
	regional plan to meet requirements of SB375.	

	Climate Action Plan by June 30, 2011. Key milestones included the emission reductions; implementation actions, timeline.	de: Carbon emissions
Status:	Underway.	
Comments:	Climate Action Plan initiated in December. UC Santa Cruz staff working on Adaptability component.	Objective Achieved

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES							
	Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performance Measure	Projection	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date	
Staff hours spent participating in Planning	10	4	8	4	3	19	
Division training sessions.							

COMMENTS ON OTHER REPERBUANCE MEASURES	
COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:	
L NI/A	
N/A	



#### YEAR-END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July - June

P<sup>3</sup>

Date: July 1, 2011

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: Records, Archives and Clerical Services (RACS) (2142) **Program Owner**: Brenda Nielsen, Administrative / Clerical Supervisor

Phone Number: x2653

**Program Mission**: Provide organized solutions for the preservation and accessibility of recorded

property development history for the community and staff in adherence with State

law and City Council policies.

## **MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES**

1. Respond and deliver 100% of building and planning file document requests, made by the public, within one hour of receipt.

WILLIAM ONC TIO	di di receipt.						
Performance	e Measure	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
	quests for files nin one hour of	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status:	Year-end Total: 39,154						
Comments:	Our projection wa	Our projection was for 25,000 Objective Achieved					

Performance	Measure	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Percent of viewing appointments for commercial plans filled within 72 hours of receipt.		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status:	Year-end Total: 965						
Comments:	Our projection wa	tion was for 1,050 Objective Achieved ⊠					

3. Ensure that 98% all building and planning documents are processed within ten days of receipt by RACS.							
Porformance	Moasuro	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Performance Measure		98%	98%	98%	97%	77%	94%
Percent of documents prepared, scanned, and stored within ten days of receipt.		90%	90%	90%	97%	1170	94%
Status:	Year-end Total:	Year-end Total: 89,212					
Comments:	We processed 89,212 incoming documents out of the 94,935 documents that we received. Our projection for total documents scanned was 120,000.				Objective Ac	hieved 🗌	

4. Ensure that 100% of all Land Development team cash receipts are balanced to 100% accuracy on a daily basis.							racy on a
Performance	Mossuro	Annual	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
		Target 100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%
Percent of cash receipts received and in balance with Advantage cashiering reports.		100%	100%	100%	9970	100%	100%
Status:	7,585 transactions	s were rece	eived in FY11	1.			
Comments:	Our projection was for 7,200 transactions.				Objective Acl	hieved 🛚	
	Out of the 7,585 transactions we made 2 errors that were not reconciled in FY11.						

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES							
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Final Year to Date	
Number of document requests processed.	25,000	9,507	9,154	8,709	11,784	39,154	
2. Commercial and residential plan viewings.	1,050	268	217	241	239	965	
3. Documents scanned and archived.	120,000	19,076	20,663	23,369	26,104	89,212	
		•	•	•			
4. LDT register transactions.	7,200	2,034	1,599	1,859	2,093	7,585	



#### YEAR-END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July –June

P3

Date: 7/01/11

**Department:** Community Development **Program Name**: Redevelopment Agency (2611)

Program Owner: Marck Aguilar, Redevelopment Supervisor

Phone Number: x5510

Program Mission: Revitalize the Project Area by eliminating the physical and economic

conditions of blight through public and private partnerships in an effort to create an economically vibrant and environmentally balanced Project Area for

all residents.

# **MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES**

1. Complete 75% of Agency-budgeted Capital Improvement Projects without exceeding the original budget by more than 10%.

	Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of Agency-budgeted	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Capital Improvement projects						
completed without exceeding						
original budget by more then						
10%.						
Ctatus: The West Beach Redestries Improvements West Downtown Redestries						

Status: The West Beach Pedestrian Improvements, West Downtown Pedestrian

Improvements, Westside Community Center Park Improvements and Parking Structures 9 & 10 upgrades were all completed in Fiscal Year 2011 and came in

under or at budget.

Comments: Objective Achieved ⊠

2. Ensure that 100% of Redevelopment Agency grant funds are spent on redevelopment-eligible activities and in compliance with grant agreements.

	Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of grant funds spent on redevelopment-eligible activities and in compliance with grant agreement.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status: All grant funds w	All grant funds were sport on redevelopment eligible activities and in compliance with					

Status: All grant funds were spent on redevelopment eligible activities and in compliance with the respective grant agreements.

Comments: Objective Achieved ∑

3. Ensure that 100% of RDA-sponsored capital projects incorporate environmentally responsible design and construction techniques including, but not limited to, the specification of recycled content building materials and construction debris recycling processes.

	Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of RDA-sponsored capital projects incorporating environmentally responsible design and construction techniques.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Status:	RDA-sponsored projects continue to incorporate environmentally responsible design and construction techniques.				
	The recently completed Carrillo Recreation Center refurbishment project has been designed to the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver rating, with a final determination currently pending.				
	The Fire Station No. 1 Administrative Offices project is also being designed and constructed with LEED certification as an objective.				
Comments:		Objective Achieved 🖂			

# PROJECT OBJECTIVES

4. Set aside at least 20% of Agency funds for Affordable Housing through the Housing Development						
and Preservation Program in compliance with State-mandated set-aside requirements.						
Status:	This objective was achieved as part of the Fiscal Year 2011 Budget approval.					
Comments:		Objective Achieved 🖂				

5. Update the budget process Status:	e Agency's Long Range Capital Improvement Program as part o	of the Fiscal Year 2012
Comments:	On June 21, 2011, the Agency Board approved sixteen (16) new capital projects totaling \$29,975,000 for the RDA Capital Program as part of the Fiscal Year 2012 -2015 budget approval. This four year budget committing future tax increment funding is atypical and is in response to State budget-related legislation.	Objective Achieved

6. Submit the State Controller's Annual Report by December 31, 2010, and comply with all applicable State rules, regulations, and reporting requirements.					
Status:	This objective was achieved. The State controller's Report was approved by the Agency Board on December 14, 2010 and was accepted by the State by Decem 31, 2010.				
Comments:		Objective Achieved 🖂			

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES							
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date	
None.							

COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:	



# YEAR END P3 REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July- June

**Date:** July 20, 2011



**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: SHO, Environmental, and Training (2135)

Program Owner: Debra Andaloro, Senior Planner II and Susan Reardon, Senior Planner II

Phone Number: x4569 and x4555

**Program Mission**: Strengthen the Planning Division by establishing and maintaining a

comprehensive training program; managing the environmental review process in order to protect and preserve the City's resources and quality of life while complying with State CEQA mandates; and, making sound decisions as the

Staff Hearing Officer consistent with City land use policy.

# **MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES**

1. Conduct 6 policy and procedure training sessions for existing Planning Division staff members on an as needed basis to respond to re-assignments due to budget reductions.

		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to			
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date			
Number of training sessions 6			3	1	10	4	18			
held.										
Status:	Performance me	Performance measure met.								
Comments:	_	d to form based codes, PC/SHO conditions, building alterations, development fees, and changes.								

2. Provide Environmental Analyst support to case planners by providing written comments on technical documents and project environmental issues and impacts within the PRT/DART timelines.

technical dod	technical documents and project environmental issues and impacts within the PRI/DARI timelines.								
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to		
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun Date			
Percentage of	of Environmental	90%	86%	100%	100%	83%	91%		
Analyst written comments									
completed by due date									
Status:	Performance me	Performance measure met.							
Comments:	Q1 - 14 PRT/DAI	RTs reviev	ved and two	comments v	vere late.	Objective A	chieved 🖂		
	Q2 - 12 PRT/DAI	RTs reviev	ved and all c	omments or	n time.				
	Q3 - 13 PRT/DARTs reviewed and all comments on time.								
	Q4 - 18 PRT/DAI	Q4 - 18 PRT/DARTs reviewed and three comments were							
	late.								

3. Achieve 80% reimbursement of CIP Environmental Analyst's annual salary for environmental and project review of City projects.

		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percentage of reimbursable		80%	30%	9%	7%	19%	65%
time.							
Status:	Performance me	asure not i	met.				

Comments:	Monthly reimbursement rates vary depending on workload.	Objective Achieved
	Workload had significantly slowed in the late fall/winter and	
	picked up again in spring, however not enough for us to	
	meet out target.	

4. Achieve 80% on-time completion of Staff Hearing Officer (SHO) review and approval of minutes and resolutions within five working days of SHO meetings.								
	_	Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date	
Percentage of	Percentage of milestones		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
achieved.								
Status:	Performance me	asure met.						
Comments:		The majority of the minutes/resolutions continue to be oosted to the web within 2 days of the hearing.  Objective Achieved ⊠						

5. Perform check-in with Planning Commission liaison after each Staff Hearing Officer meeting 90% of time and maintain other on-going communications with the Planning Commission. Annual QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 Year to **Performance Measure Target** Jul-Sep Oct-Dec Jan-Mar Apr-Jun Date Percentage of milestones 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 90% achieved. Status: Performance measure met. Objective Achieved X Comments: Regular check-in occurs after every SHO meeting. The SHO attended two PC lunch meetings. One to discuss communication of SHO actions to the PC liaison and another to provide the PC a summary of the actions taken by the SHO over the last calendar year.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES							
	Continue implementation of a computer database for the management of the Planning Division policy and procedure library and training program to reduce the use of paper by June 30, 2011.						
Status:	The majority of the templates were completed by the 2 <sup>nd</sup> quart Information Systems to install the new Questys upgrades.	The majority of the templates were completed by the 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter. Currently, waiting for					
Comments:	Green Measure.	Objective Achieved 🖂					

0	THER PERFORMA	NCE MEAS	URES				
F	Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
1.	Number of policies, procedures or handouts updated.	10	28	20	12	34	94
2.	Number of SHO appeals.	5	0	1	1	1	3
3.	Number of major projects, policies/planning documents and/or technical studies the Environmental Analyst commented on (other than those associated with PRT or DART).	6	2	1	5	2	10
4.	Hearings on development projects (former PC projects) by Staff Hearing Officer.	15	1	2	2	1	6
5.	Number of Modification items heard by the SHO.	100	24	16	16	13	69

#### **COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:**

- 1. In relation to other improvements at the Planning Division's public counter, we have devoted our efforts to update our public handouts to help ensure accurate information is provided to the public.
- The total number of SHO appeals represents 4% of the total projects reviewed by the SHO (3 appeals  $\div$  75 total projects = 4%).
- 3 Major studies reviewed included UCSB Long Range Development Plan; Mission Canyon ADEIR and DEIR; and, County Zoning Ordinance at Coastal Commission.
- 4. & 5. The numbers reflect the slowdown in development activity.



#### YEAR-END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July – June



Date: July 18, 2011

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: Zoning: Ordinance, Information, and Enforcement (2133)

Program Owner: Renee Brooke, Senior Planner II

Phone Number: x5564

**Program Mission**: Protect and improve Santa Barbara's quality of life by providing information

regarding the City's Planning and Zoning regulations to the community and ensuring that existing and new developments comply with those regulations.

#### MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

1. Complete 75% of initial site inspections for highest priority enforcement cases within 21 days of receipt of the complaint.

Performance	e Measure	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Percent of his enforcement initial inspect performed wi	ghest priority cases where	75%	46%	89%	79%	83%	69%
Status:	130 site inspection	ns; 90 pei	formed with	in 21 days.		•	
Comments:	Many cases sat on the pending list from May - July until we began enforcement efforts again in August 2010, so Q1 was abnormally low as we cleared out the backlog.						

2. Complete 75% of initial actions on enforcement cases within 10 days of the initial site inspection.								
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date	
Percent of er	nforcement cases	75%	93%	93%	81%	64%	85%	
where the wa	arning letter is							
sent or the ca								
,	within 10 days of the initial							
site inspection								
Status:	215 initial actions	s; 183 with	in 10 days.					
Comments:	This is representa	ative of ou	r enforceme	nt officer's a	bility to	Objective Ac	hieved 🛚	
	respond in a timely manner once a case has been assigned							
	and investigated.							

3. Complete 65% of initial zoning plan checks within the target timelines.								
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date	
checks for bu	uitial zoning plan 65% 71% 66% 65% 58% 65% uilding permits by the target date					65%		
Status:	1,161 initial zonir	1,161 initial zoning plan checks; 754 completed within target timelines						
Comments:	Objective Achieved 🖂							

FY 2011 Year-End Report Zoning: Ordinance, Information, and Enforcement Program 2133 Page 2 of 3

4. Complete 75% of re-submittal plan checks within the target timelines.									
Performance	- Magazira	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date		
			•			•			
Percent of re plan checks f permits comp target date		75%	88%	76%	83%	84%	83%		
Status:	1,124 re-submitta	1,124 re-submittal zoning plan checks; 932 completed within target timelines							
Comments:		Objective Achieved 🖂							

5. Issue 75% of Zoning Information Reports (ZIRs) within three working days of physical inspection.								
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performance Measure Target			Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date	
Percent of ZIRs issued within		75%	98%	96%	94%	97%	96%	
3 working days of the								
inspection								
Status:	484 ZIRs; 465 co	484 ZIRs; 465 completed within three days of inspection						
Comments:	This is a phenomenal improvement over FY10.							

PROJEC	T OBJECTIVES				
6. Attend the monthly Neighborhood Improvement Task Force (NITF) meetings. Participate in at least one neighborhood clean-up activity, inter-departmental enforcement activity, or similar, if directed by the NITF.					
Status:	Status: Zoning Staff participated in the April 9 <sup>th</sup> Eastside Community Clean-Up. We also attend the monthly meetings, and provide information and support to the group as necessary.				
Comments:		Objective Achieved 🛛			

FY 2011 Year-End Report

Zoning: Ordinance, Information, and Enforcement Program 2133

Page 3 of 3

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES							
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date	
Zoning enforcement cases received	300	62	60	51	38	211	
2. Warning Letters sent	200	67	59	40	37	203	
3. First Citations sent	40	3	5	8	6	22	
4. Zoning enforcement cases closed	350	95	89	74	65	323	
5. Zoning plan checks completed – initial review	1,000	268	274	301	318	1,161	
6. Zoning plan checks completed – resubmittal.	1,000	259	262	285	318	1,124	
7. ZIRs prepared	350	134	119	108	123	484	
8. People served at the Zoning Counter	11,000	2,849	2,709	2,825	2,551	10,934	
Technology-related requests for assistance from staff and the public	100	33	26	14	15	88	
10. Staff hours spent participating in Planning Division training sessions	40	10	5	27	24	66	

**COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:** The majority of our closed enforcement cases were done so with very few citations, which indicates that we are either getting compliance through the initial Warning Letter or, upon investigation our officer(s) could not verify a violation. We completed 38% more ZIRs than estimated, even with the Ordinance amendment (effective December 2010) that made ZIRs optional for condos. All other Performance Measures were relatively close to projections. The number of initial zoning plan checks increased 7.5% over FY10 figures.



#### YEAR-END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July - June



**Date: July 15, 2011** 

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: CDBG and Human Services Administration (2121, 2124)

Program Owner: Deirdre Randolph, Community Development Programs Supervisor

Phone Number: x5511

**Program Mission**: Ensure that the Federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and

City General Fund Human Services programs meets the basic human needs of low-income individuals through non-profit human service agencies and the

Annual QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 Year to

departments.

# **MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES**

1. Expend 95% of committed Human Services funds within the program year funds were committed.

		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of Human Services funds expended within the		95%	25%	31%	20%	23%	99%
program year.							
Status:	This objective was achieved.						
Comments:	one program did	of executed contract funds were expended; however or orgram did not execute their contract so the funds will ack to the General Fund.					

2. Ensure that less than 2% of all applicants appeal the Committee recommendations to the City Council by providing staff support to the Community Development/Human Services Committee and applicants in the annual process of recommending funding commitments.

Performance Measure		Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of the number of applicants appealing the		<2%	N/A	N/A	1%	N/A	1%
funding decisions to be less							
than 2%.							
Status:	This objective wa	This objective was achieved.					
Comments:	funding recomme	endations;	t of 78) made an appeal to change the ndations; however, the recommendations approved by Council on March 22, 2011.				hieved 🛚

PROJECT OBJECTIVES					
• •	3. Develop, with public input, the Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation (CAPER) and submit to HUD by September 30, 2010.				
Status:	This objective was met.				
Comments:	The CAPER was submitted on time. HUD did not require that any issues be addressed or clarified.	Objective Achieved			

4. Develop,	with public input, the Annual Consolidated Plan and submit to HUD by May 15, 2011.
Status:	This objective was met.

Comments:	This year an extension of time was granted due to the delay in receiving entitlement amount from HUD. The City submitted its one-year Action Plan on-time.	Objective Achieved 🖂
-----------	--	----------------------

5. Successfully administer the City's Community Development Block Grant ARRA funds (CDBG-R).					
Status:	Status: Successful				
Comments:	Two of the three projects funded are complete and the final project is 98% complete.	Objective Achieved 🖂			

6. Implement and track progress on Council Subcommittee on Homelessness and Community Relations recommendations.					
Status:	Status: This objective has been achieved for 2011.				
Comments:	Sub-committee reconvened November 9, 2010. Several meetings were held and strategies further refined. The Sub-committee's recommendations to City Council are to be finalized at the August 4, 2011 meeting.	Objective Achieved 🖂			

7. Provide support to the City's effort to oppose Federal proposals to major changes and cuts to the CDBG Program.						
Status:						
Comments:	Although concentrated opposition efforts did not prevent cuts to the federal 2011 budget, Staff continues to monitor Federal proposals regarding CDBG and HOME funding. The push now is to restore CDBG and HOME funding to 2010 levels in the federal 2012 budget.	Objective Achieved				

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES							
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date	
1. Number of CDBG/Human Services grant applicants received in December 2010.	75	0	78	0	N/A	78	
2. Number of CDBG/Human Services grant recipients awarded in March 2011.	65	N/A	N/A	65	N/A	65	
3. Number of homeless persons served by funded agencies for services such as shelter, food, case management, supportive services and others.	5,000*	N/A	2,183	N/A	2,315	4,498	

FY 2011 Year-End Report CDBG and Human Services Administration Program 2121 Page 3 of 3

4. Number of seniors served by funded agencies for services including day care, counseling, in-home supportive services and others.	2,000*	N/A	324	N/A	1,311	1,635
5. Number of children and youth (0-18) served by funded agencies for services including child care, counseling, advocacy, gang prevention, after-school care and others.	4,000*	N/A	1,859	N/A	3,177	5,036

#### **COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:**

- 1. A record number of applications were submitted.
- 2. Grants were awarded in March 2011.
- 3. Subgrantee performance reports are compiled semi-annually, in January and July.
- 4. Subgrantee performance reports are compiled semi-annually, in January and July.
- 5. Subgrantee performance reports are compiled semi-annually, in January and July.
- \*Actual counts may be duplicated as some agencies may serve the same client.



#### YEAR-END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July- June



Date: July 15, 2011

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: CDBG – Rental Housing Mediation Task Force (2122)

Program Owner: Deirdre Randolph, Community Development Programs Supervisor

Phone Number: x5511

**Program Mission**: Provide mediation services and information on landlord and tenant rights and

responsibilities to help resolve rental-housing disputes.

MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES								
1. Successfu	1. Successfully mediate 85% of all disputes completing mediation.							
		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to	
Performance Measure Target Jul-Sep Oct-Dec Jan-Mar Apr-Jun Date								
Percent of m	Percent of mediations		100%	50%	100%	100%	95%	
successfully mediated.								
Status:	30 mediations we	mediations were initiated; however 8 were refused. Of the 22 completed						
	mediations, 21 w	mediations, 21 were successful.						
Comments:		tiated, but ultimately "refused" mediations are not					hieved 🛚	
	"completed" and	therefore r	not included	in this				
	measurement.							

2. Provide 5 outreach and education presentations to tenants, landlords, and community groups on rental housing rights and responsibilities. Annual QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 Year to **Performance Measure** Target Oct-Dec Jan-Mar Jul-Sep Apr-Jun Date Number of outreach and 6 education presentations. Status: This objective was met Comments: Objective Achieved X

3. Provide 1,	100 residents with	informatio	on and medi	ation service	es.		
Performance	e Measure	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Number of re information a services.	sidents receiving nd mediation	1,100	333	266	336	336	1,271
Status:							
Comments:						Objective Ac	hieved 🛚

PROJECT	OBJECTIVES	
•	ne possibility of adding a fee to residential rental property businousing Mediation Program.	ess licenses to support
Status:	N/A	
Comments:	Following the defeat of Prop 26 and due to the current economic climate staff, with input from Assistant City Attorney, has determined that this approach is not feasible.	Objective Achieved 🖂

2. Work with	Finance to update the residential rental property business licer	nse data base.
Status:	N/A	
Comments:	Due to Item 1 not going forward, plus the general and specific RHMTF budget concerns, a consultant was not engaged. No further action on this is anticipated.	Objective Achieved

OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES							
Performance Measure	Annual Projection	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date	
Total number of rental housing disputes receiving mediation services, excluding those receiving information only.	50	9	9	6	6	30	
Percent of disputes resolved by providing information.	75%	N/A	N/A	N/A	93%	93%	
Average cost to mediate disputes.	\$400	\$641	\$777	\$565	\$415	\$647	
4. Number of lost calls.	300	44	32	65	76	217	

#### **COMMENTS ON OTHER PERFORMANCE MEASURES:**

- 1. The number of mediations fell below projections due in part to one staff member transferring to another City department in December.
- 2. Data for percent of disputes resolved by providing information was gathered from an annual survey of clients. In June, fifty-six (56) surveys were sent to clients served during FY 2011. A total of fifteen (15) surveys were returned, representing a 27% return rate. On a scale of 1-4, 93% of respondents reported that they were satisfied that the information provided helped them resolve their dispute (86% rated 4 and 6% rated 3). Only one respondent (6%) indicated that they were not satisfied with the results.

#### Sampling of comments:

- "Excellent resource. Information was sent via email directly to me. Very, very helpful. Thank you."
- "You are doing a great job. I really appreciate your help. Thank you so much."
- "I was happy with the professional help I received"
- "You were all very helpful. It all went very smoothly. Thank you for your help"
- "Bottom line the tenant has no rights in Santa Barbara. Thanks for nothing."
- 3. FY 2011 the cost is based upon an average of \$70.71/hour. This estimated hourly cost represents total annual program budget / annual estimate of total working hours for all staff (FT+PT). Total hours are reported for completed mediations. The time/cost for mediations that were initiated but refused is not included. A portion of the cost was billed to HPRP if the mediations were for qualified HPRP clients.



#### YEAR-END REPORT Fiscal Year 2011 July - June



**Date: July 15, 2011** 

**Department:** Community Development

**Program Name**: CDBG – Housing Rehabilitation Loan Program (HRLP) 2123

**Program Owner**: Steven Faulstich, Housing Programs Supervisor

Phone Number: x5485

**Program Mission**: Finance and facilitate the improvement of housing for low-income

homeowners and renters in order to provide safe, desirable and stable living

conditions, and enhance neighborhoods.

#### **MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES**

1. Loan and grant 100% of newly available funds, including new Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) loan funds received and loan repayments received, within 12 months of receipt.

,	/	Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
CDBG loan for repayment fur	ewly available unds and ands loaned and n 12 months of	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status:	This test was met due to the large amount of commitments last fiscal year (over \$1 million). This fiscal year we also made a large amount of CDBG rehab commitments (over \$800,000). Those commitments are counted in the P3 year-end report for the "Housing Preservation and Development" Program.						
Comments:						Objective Ac	hieved 🛚

2. Obtain approval from the Loan Committee or City Council for five or more HRLP loans and grants, including single-family and multi-family projects.

Performance Measure	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
Number of HRLP loans and grants approved.	5	2	1	0	2	5

Status: The following loans and grants were approved in the first two quarters: Phoenix House for \$42,000; SFR at 1537 Portesuello for \$20,000 for a re-roof; and rehab of the 6-unit Housing Authority (HASB) acquisition at 2941 State Street for \$90,000. In

the last two quarters Council approved 3 additional grants to HASB projects for up to \$850,000, one of which was additional funding for 2941 State (it was already counted in quarter 2 so it is not counted again here in quarter 4). The other two grants were for SHIECO and Villa La Cumbro.

SHIFCO and Villa La Cumbre.

Comments: Objective Achieved ⊠

3. Complete application a	construction on sin	ngle-family	rehabilitation	ons within ar	average of	f 52 weeks o	f
Performance	e Measure	Annual Target	QTR 1 Jul-Sep	QTR 2 Oct-Dec	QTR 3 Jan-Mar	QTR 4 Apr-Jun	Year to Date
from applicat of construction family rehabile	•	52 weeks or less	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Status:	The HRLP did no year due to the re this measure is n	etirement c	of the Loan (				
Comments:		• •				Objective Ac	hieved 🗌

		Annual	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	Year to
Performance	e Measure	Target	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Date
Percent of re rehabilitation		75%	NA	NA	NA	100%	100%
Status:	Two multi-family energy-saving fer involved the replatinefficient. The series Housing Authority	atures. Or acement of econd pro	ne project, th f an old, leal ject was reh	ne Mom's Pla ky roof with a ab work at 2	ace rehab by a new roof th 1941 State c	y Transition nat is more eompleted by	House energy the